UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,281	09/25/2003	Theodore W. Watler	018684-002510US	8318	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER		
			SHAN, APRIL YING		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<u> </u>		2135			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/672,281	WATLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		April Y. Shan	2135	
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED S' WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 rom the mailing date of this communication.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	· • —	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
		, parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	5. 11, 433 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)		vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Examine s) filed on is/are: a) accompose a control accompose and accompose a control accomposed and accomposed according to the correct according to by the Examine according to according to the Examine accordi	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

10/672,281 Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 58-80, drawn to allowing a retailer to control access to a computer network, classified in class 380, subclass 270.
 - II. Claims 81-86, drawn to access point communication equipment, classified in class 713, subclass 153.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention 1 can adapt to use different access point communication equipment other than invention II. The subcombination has separate utility such as transmitting information in the wireless network.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

10/672,281 Art Unit: 2135

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number:

10/672,281

Art Unit: 2135

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April Y. Shan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nov. 14. 2007

KIM VU ARROW PATENT EXAMIN